

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_110884
Title of the Manuscript:	Tillage and crop residue effects on soil carbon and moisture for wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) productivity in semiarid of Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes. The research article entitled 'Tillage and crop residue effects on soil carbon and moisture for wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) productivity in semi arid of Tigray, Ethiopia' will be useful for the scientific community and farming community especially in drought management studies. 2. The title is good, however one can add 'regions' with semi arid. The title may be revised as 'Tillage and crop residue effects on soil carbon and moisture for wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) productivity in semi arid regions of Tigray, Ethiopia' 3. The abstract is comprehensive, however there is no need to highlight the parameters that were not investigated in the abstract. The author may remove the second sentence of the abstract. 4. Yes. 5. Yes. 6. Period of study is not mentioned in the text. 7. In page no. 6, 7 the equations are not complete. 8. The sub-titles 2.2.1, 2.31, 2.3.2. are underlined. It may be removed. 9. In Results and Discussion, Table-2 does not carry the information on OC and TN. Refer 8 th line In 3.1	Thank you for your comment. 6. period of study during 2016 to 2018 7. We have corrected now 8. We have removed the underlines 9. We have removed, we mean figure 4
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	Thank you.
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	