

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_110884
Title of the Manuscript:	Tillage and crop residue effects on soil carbon and moisture for wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) productivity in semiarid of Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1.Reduced tillage combined with the retention of crop residue on the soil surface can help conserve soil organic carbon, improve soil structure, and enhance moisture retention. These help to improve soil health and increased agricultural sustainability in farming systems.  2. Yes, it is suitable and correctly depicts the content.  3. Yes it is briefly implied the entire content and also comprehensive.  4.Yes, they are appropriate  5. Yes the manuscript are scientifically correct  7. There is a huge lacking in references. It just seems like that copy and paste from different sources. Even the reference format, style and also font have also not been changed. This is the biggest lacking. Otherwise references are sufficient and up to date.	  Thank you very much for the comments. I have corrected and updated the references.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  Yes, language is well written, technically specific and understandable.	  Thank you.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	  NA	  Thank you.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	