

**Review Form1.7**

JournalName:	AsianResearchJournalofArts&SocialSciences
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ARJASS_110962
TitleoftheManuscript:	ASSESSINGTHEIMPLICATIONOFPLEABARGAINUNDERACJA,2015INNIGERIA’S ANTI-CORRUPTIONCRUSADE:LESSONSFROMKENYA
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearch Article

## Review Form1.7

### **PART1:**Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<p>This Paper is not the scientific or the technical paper.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable. Some more clearly brief explanation is needed.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate and the article explanations are good. scientifically it was not related</p> <p>references are sufficient</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The background work of the author was good and the manuscript formation is decent but for the presented manuscript need some more details in the abstract and the conclusion part. And there is no tabular or flow chart of the explanation is not presented. Add some more keywords at least 6-8.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Gangadhari Sai Kumar
Department, University & Country	India