

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_111297
Title of the Manuscript:	Implanon contraceptive method: factors associated with discontinuation of use
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it systematically reviews and synthesizes evidence on an important public health issue. Contraceptive use and factors affecting discontinuation of long-acting reversible contraceptives like Implanon have significant implications for women's health and family planning programs. The findings from this review can help inform guidelines and interventions to improve continuation rates. 2. Yes, the title "Factors associated with discontinuation of the contraceptive method Implanon" clearly conveys the topic and scope of the manuscript. 3. Yes, the abstract provides a clear and concise overview of the background, methods, results and conclusions of the systematic review. All relevant details are captured. 4. Yes, the manuscript follows a logical structure with appropriate subsections on introduction, methodology, results, discussion and conclusions. This allows clear presentation of the objectives, methods, findings and implications of the review. 5. Yes, the methodology appears robust and follows PRISMA guidelines for conducting systematic reviews. The search strategy, study selection criteria and data extraction process have been described clearly. The analysis and synthesis of findings from the selected studies also seem to have been carried out correctly. 6. The reference list contains 22 citations, with several from the last 5 years. This indicates thorough supporting of the introduced concepts and reviewed literature. No additional references need be suggested. 7. Yes, the manuscript is clearly written in good English without any major issues with language or grammar. The technical content is adequately detailed while still being accessible.	Ok
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. None	Ok
Optional/General comments	The introduction provides an appropriate overview of Implanon as a contraceptive method, its effectiveness, side effects and issues with discontinuation rates. The methodology section describes rigorous systematic review procedures including comprehensive search strategies, inclusion/exclusion criteria and data extraction processes. Discussion of the studies' findings demonstrates understanding of contraceptive mechanisms of action, side effect profiles and factors affecting user compliance. Conclusions drawn are supported by the evidence presented from the selected literature. Suggested interventions around counseling and provider training seem appropriate.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	