

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_111297
Title of the Manuscript:	Implanon contraceptive method: factors associated with discontinuation of use
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The current study is particularly commendable for shedding light on the factors leading to the discontinuation of the Implanon contraceptive method. This contribution enhances understanding of the practical aspects associated with the utilization of this contraceptive, thereby adding valuable insights to the existing knowledge in the field.</p> <p>2. When denoting an association in a manuscript title, it is advisable to employ a quantitative research approach for a rigorous and systematic analysis of relationships between variables.</p> <p>3. While the abstract of the article is deemed acceptable, it falls slightly short of comprehensiveness and may benefit from further elaboration and detail.</p> <p>4. Indeed, it is suitable. However, certain aspects of the manuscript, such as the table headings should be positioned at the top of the table and formatted in bold, as specified in the journal's guidelines.</p> <p>5. While the author notes the adoption of the PRISMA method, it would be beneficial to include a flow chart detailing the research paper selection process for the present study.</p> <p>6. The manuscript is scientifically accurate, although there are areas that could be enhanced. For instance, it would be advantageous to incorporate a flow chart illustrating the research paper selection process, as suggested when the author mentioned the utilization of the PRISMA method. The manuscript would benefit from an expanded literature review, providing a more thorough exploration of existing research and enhancing the overall depth of the study.</p>	Ok
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language and English quality of the article are well-suited for scholarly communications; however, it's worth noting that the language is occasionally loose, and some typographical errors have been observed.</p>	Ok
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The sole Table 2 in the present study encapsulates the primary findings of the five referenced studies. However, it is essential to incorporate details on the methodology, sample size, and the study's location directly within the table for a more comprehensive presentation.</p> <p>2. Typographical errors identified within the manuscript should be rectified. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that the manuscript is relatively brief.</p> <p>3. For the sake of uniformity, the second reference should be revised to conform to the formatting style of the other references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	