

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_111085
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS AND ONLINE INITIATIVES IN ADDRESSING AND CREATING AWARENESS ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IN THE YOUTH AND ADULT POPULATION
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The names of the books in the Reference section should be in italics.</p> <p>2. There are mention of theories like Displaced Behavior Theory, for which the name of the person who first started the theory should be mentioned in the reference section for the ease of all readers.</p> <p>3. The word selfies (in page 4) are within inverted comma; the word is so common now-a-day, so I do not feel that there is a need of inverted commas.</p> <p>4. In page 5: Conversely, depression emerged as an unintended consequence of excessive social media use, extending beyond platforms like Facebook to encompass various social networking sites. The intricate connection between depression and social media engagement was further underscored by a recent study... The paragraph indent needs to be corrected.</p> <p>5. The mention of Neira and Barber's research in Page 5 is out of sudden; No background is mentioned.</p> <p>6. Reference No. 20 to be rechecked as the name of the author, text, publication year and source are absent.</p> <p>7. Reference No. 25 and 26 are to be rechecked.</p> <p>8. The rest part do not have any problem.</p>	<p>Acknowledged. The required changes have been made as requested.</p> <p>References have been updated.</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	