

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_111030
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Quality of Life: A Comparative Study among Patients with Schizophrenia, Bipolar Affective Disorder, and Healthy Control in a South Indian Tertiary Care Setting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is important to assess the quality of life in psychiatric patient, but the authors should add the reasons why choosing BPAD as comparison to schizophrenia QOL</p> <p>Yes, the title suitable for the paper</p> <p>Comment on abstract: Put together the study design, place and duration of study and methodology in “Methods” section.</p> <p>Yes, but there’s no need to show the “sample size calculations” part Subsections “assessment” better changed to methods</p> <p>Authors should define inclusion and exclusion criteria in the paper Statistical analysis not clear Write the p value</p> <p>The references sufficient</p>	<p>It has been added in the introduction.</p> <p>Necessary changes have been made.</p> <p>P value is presented at the bottom of the table.</p> <p>New reference has been added</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	