Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_111135
Title of the Manuscript:	The long-term role of equities on cash holdings of the construction firms in Türkiye
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1. The manuscript addresses a relevant and significant topic regarding the long-term role of equities on cash holdings in the construction firms of Turkey. Analyzing the relationship between equities and cash holdings has implications for financial strategies in the construction sector. The findings could contribute valuable insights to financial decision-	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	making in similar sectors and countries.	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. The current title, "The long-term role of equities on cash holdings of the construction firms in Türkiye," effectively conveys the main focus of the manuscript. However, for conciseness	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	and clarity, an alternative title could be: "Equities and Cash Holdings: A Long-Term Analysis of Turkish Construction Firms"	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	3. Objectives could be clearer, and the importance of the investigation needs to be highlighted.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	 Provide more details on the specific analytical methods used. Explicitly mention key results from the returns on assets and returns on equities 	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	analysis.	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	 Include practical implications for construction firms in Turkey. 	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	 Conclude the abstract with a concise summary or takeaway message. 	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	4. The appropriate structure is proposely appropriate but it sould be off from places.	
	4. The manuscript's structure is generally appropriate, but it could benefit from clearer	
	subsection organization and headings for improved readability.	
	5. Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct based on the information provided.	
	6. The references seem sufficient, but the specific references cited are not provided here.	
	Please include the list of references for a more detailed assessment.	
Minor REVISION comments	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication.	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript explores the long-term impact of equities on cash holdings in Turkish construction	
Optional Comments	firms. While generally well-structured, minor improvements are needed in abstract clarity, introduction context, and methodological details.	
	introduction context, and methodological details.	
L		1

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nosheen Khan
Department, University & Country	Ghazi University, Pakistan

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)