

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110941
Title of the Manuscript:	Maintaining Traditional Market to Encourage Local Economy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. The article meets scientific elements 2. The article title is appropriate 3. The summary of the article is quite comprehensive, however, if we add more brief data in places such as: The results show that the level of satisfaction with market management reaches ..???.. % is classified as satisfied. Factors affecting consumer satisfaction use is (1) accessibility to gain value ??? % (2) product condition and value ??? % (3) physical infrastructure reaches value ??? %. (4) The responsibility factor does not significantly affect customer satisfaction because the value reaches...???.%. more data should be added 4. Lack of theoretical basis and Missing model-related hypotheses 5. Add some content in sequence - Abstract - INTRODUCTION - THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND RESEARCH HYPOTHESES: - RESEARCH METHODS - RESULTS AND ANALYSIS - CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS - References 6. In the article, APA and IEEE citation methods were used APA citation recommendations will be more global	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Văn Nhân, Nguyễn
Department, University & Country	Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Vietnam