

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111189
Title of the Manuscript:	Total factor productivity growth and changing cost structure of sugarcane in Gujarat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The given topic suggest to modify as 'Growth of factors of production and Cost Effectiveness of Sugarcane production in Gujarat</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. The given concept for 'total factor productivity growth' is not clear. So, it is suggested author has to use any other suitable alternatives.</p> <p>2. Input and output factors are not classified from the total factor productivity.</p> <p>3. Problems and scope of the study are not being highlighted.</p> <p>4. All the tables should be revised to update the details of sources.</p> <p>5. The study should be improved with necessary suggestions.</p>	<p>2. topic itself called as total factor productivity, so title is good as it is.</p> <p>improved</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>ok</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	no