

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_110868
Title of the Manuscript:	Review and Outlook on Seismic Vulnerability Analysis of Tunnel Engineering
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. This manuscript analyzes one important research topic for scientific community. 2. The title of this article is suitable for the topic. 3. The abstract of this article is comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of this article are appropriate. 5. This article is scientifically correct. 6. The reference papers are sufficient, but there are not near 3 years literature papers.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 The grammar of many sentences need be revised.	
Optional/General comments	 This manuscript analyzes the review of seismic vulnerability for tunnel engineering. The following points need be revised: 1. This manuscript can give the analysis on different measures to improve seismic vulnerability for tunnel engineering. 2. For Table 2, the destruction index range for complete destruction need be checked. 3. The variables of the explanation for Equation 1 need be consistent with this equation. 4. The grammar of many sentences need be revised. 5. The reference papers should be listed according to the style of this journal.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Feng Sun
Department, University & Country	Business College, South China Normal University, China