

Estimation and Investigation of Photosynthetically Active Radiation using Meteorological Parameters over Ikeja, Nigeria


ABSTRACT

Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; 0.4 - 0.7 μ m) is a key driver in ecosystem biochemical processes, and thus a critical factor in agriculture productivity, ecosystem-atmosphere energy, and CO₂ fluxes. In this study, the measured monthly averaged daily global solar radiation, relative humidity, wind speed, minimum and maximum temperature dataset was utilized to estimate and investigate the Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) for Ikeja (latitude 6.58°N, longitude 3.33°E and 39.4 m above sea level) located in the coastal region of Nigeria. The meteorological parameters used in this work were obtained from the archives of National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) for a period of thirty-eight years (1984-2021). The newly developed temperature PAR based models were statistically tested using the coefficient of determination (R²), Mean Bias Error (MBE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Percentage Error (MPE), t – test and index of Agreement (IA) to ascertain the accuracy and applicability of the models. The results show that, the highest and lowest values of PAR were found during the rainy season with 8.3426 MJm⁻²day⁻¹ and 6.4936 MJm⁻²day⁻¹ in the months of April and July respectively. Based on the developed models, the model equation (16g) is the most suitable for estimating PAR for Ikeja with R², MBE, RMSE, MPE, t-test and IA values of 80.9 %, 0.0062 MJm⁻²day⁻¹, 0.3415 MJm⁻²day⁻¹, -0.1974 %, 0.0601 and 92.8712 % respectively.

Keywords: Ikeja, models, NASA, PAR, statistical indicators

1. INTRODUCTION

Solar radiation is the primary energy source for life on Earth [1]. The portion with wavelengths ranging from 400 to 700 nm is known as photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). It is often regarded as the spectral range of global solar radiation at wavebands spanning from approximately 0.4 μ m through 0.7 μ m [2 – 4]. In general, “plants use PAR as an energy source to convert CO₂ and water through photosynthesis into organic compounds (typically sugars) that are then used to synthesize structural and metabolic energy required for plant growth and respiration, as well as stored vegetative products that result in plant biomass” [2], [5 – 6]. This radiation component (PAR) can be seen in the process plants used in synthesizing their food as given by the chemical equation.



where the photon (PAR) represents light energywavelength range (0.4-0.7 μm) that is best fit forphotosynthesis to occur.

“This component of solar radiation spectrum(PAR) is extremely essential, because it is the solar energy source for vegetativephotosynthesis to provide us with products such as food and fiber (fiber) sources, biofuel carriersand additional material sources that support industrial process. It also plays very importantroles in plant growth, and it is the principal factorin the rate of solar energy conversion intobiological mediated energy. Proper predictionand understanding of this radiometric parameter,PAR are needed for numerous applications,such as studies of radiation climate, remotesensing of vegetation, radiation regimes of plantcanopy and photosynthesis, an essential input inmodels estimating plant productivity, and carbonexchange between ecosystem and atmosphere” [4].Within the light wavelength range of 400 to 700 nm, the silicon photovoltaic detector can be used to monitor Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR). Most of the sensors for photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) that may also be used to calculate PAR can measure the photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of photosynthetically active radiation.

Several relatedresearches have been carried out on studies that involved estimation of Photosynthetically active radiation for different locations in Nigeria and across the globe,these include the study by Nwokolo et al. [7] where they investigate the “photosynthetically active radiation estimations and modelling over different climatic zones in Nigeria”. In another study Akpootuet al. [8] developed empirical models for Estimating Photosynthetically Active Radiation over Akure, South Western, Nigeria.Nwokolo et al. [9] carried out a “study on the impacts of climate change and Mateo - solar parameters on Photosynthetically active radiation prediction using hybrid machine learning with physics-based models”. Nwokolo et al. [10] carried out a study “on machine learning and physics-based hybridization models for evaluation of the effects of climate change and urban expansion on Photosynthetically active radiation”. Other studies include, Sunday et al. [11], Etuk et al. [12], Nwokolo et al. [13], Noriega-Gardea et al. [14], Aragão et al. [15]to mention but a few

The aim of this study is to estimate and investigate the variability of Photosynthetically active radiation and to develop new temperature based models capable of estimating PAR for Ikeja.

2. METHODOLOGY

The measured monthly average daily global solar radiation, relative humidity, wind speed, maximum and minimum temperatures covering a period of thirty eight years (1984 - 2021) for Ikeja, Nigeria was obtained from archives of the National Aeronautic and Space Administration (NASA).

The monthly average daily extraterrestrial radiation on a horizontal surface (H_0) in MJ/m²/day, can be calculated for days giving average of each month from the following equation [16 – 17],

$$H_0 = \left(\frac{24}{\pi}\right) I_{SC} \left[1 + 0.033 \cos\left(\frac{360n}{360}\right)\right] \left[\cos\varphi \cos\delta \sin\omega_s + \left(\frac{2\pi\omega_s}{360}\right) \sin\varphi \sin\delta\right] \quad (2)$$

where I_{SC} is the solar constant ($=1367 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$), φ is the latitude of the site, δ is the solar declination and ω_s is the mean sunrise hour angle for the given month and n is the number of days of the year starting from 1st January to 31st of December. The solar declination, δ and the mean sunrise hour angle, ω_s can be calculated using the following equation [16 – 17],

$$\delta = 23.45 \sin\left\{360\left(\frac{284+n}{365}\right)\right\} \quad (3)$$

$$\omega_s = \cos^{-1}(-\tan\varphi \tan\delta) \quad (4)$$

For a given month, the maximum possible sunshine duration (monthly average day length (S_0)) was computed using the equations in [16 – 17].

$$S_0 = \frac{2}{15} \omega_s (5)$$

Since, there is no standard weather station that routinely measure PAR in Nigeria and in particular Ikeja, Therefore, PAR was obtained using the formula [18 – 19],

$$PAR = 0.45 H_{mea} (6)$$

where H_{mea} is the measured global solar radiation in MJ/m²/day.

The extraterrestrial PAR_0 was estimated as 40% of the extraterrestrial global solar radiation as generalized by [20]. It was assumed that the sun – earth distance did not vary seasonally because the ratio of the distance between the earth and the sun on a specific day to the mean distance throughout the year is never more than 3.5% away from one [21]. The extraterrestrial photosynthetically active radiation, PAR_0 was estimated using the expression [7 - 8]

$$PAR_0 = 0.4 H_0 (7)$$

The PAR and PAR_0 are in MJ/m²/day

The Mean temperature (T_{mean}) was obtained using [22 – 23]

$$T_{mean} = \frac{T_{max} + T_{min}}{2} (8)$$

The temperature ratio (T_r) was obtained using [24]

$$T_r = \frac{T_{max}}{T_{min}} (9)$$

The accuracy of the developed models was statistically tested by calculating the Mean Bias Error (MBE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Percentage Error (MPE), t-test, Index of Agreement (IA) and the coefficient of determination (R^2). The MBE, RMSE and MPE was calculated using the equation [25].

$$MBE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (PAR_{i,cal} - PAR_{i,mea}) (10)$$

$$RMSE = \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (PAR_{i,cal} - PAR_{i,mea})^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} (11)$$

$$MPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{PAR_{i,mea} - PAR_{i,cal}}{PAR_{i,mea}} \right) * 100 (12)$$

As reported by Akpootu et al. [26]. The t-test as defined by student Bevington [27] is among the tests for mean value; and the random variable t. with n – 1 degrees of freedom can be given by the relation as

$$t = \left[\frac{(n-1)(MBE)^2}{[(RMSE)^2 - (MBE)^2]} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} (13)$$

The index of agreement (IA) was calculated using the below equation [28 – 29]

$$IA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (PAR_{i,cal} - PAR_{i,mea})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (|PAR_{i,cal} - \overline{PAR}_{i,mea}| + |PAR_{i,mea} - \overline{PAR}_{i,mea}|)^2} (14)$$

From the above equation (10) – (14), the $PAR_{i,mea}$, $PAR_{i,cal}$ and n are referred to as the i^{th} measured, i^{th} calculated values of daily photosynthetically active radiation and the total number of observations respectively. Iqbal [16] and Chen et al. [30] have recommended that a zero value for MBE is the most suitable and a low RMSE is desirable. Moreover, the smaller the value of the MBE, RMSE and MPE the better is the models performances. A positive MPE and MBE values gives the average amount of overestimation in the calculated values, while the negative values gives underestimation. For a better model performance, a low value of MPE is desirable and the percentage error between – 10% and + 10% is considered acceptable [31 – 37].

The smaller the value of t the better is the performance. For better data modeling, the coefficient of determination R^2 should approach 1 (100%) as closely as possible [38 – 41]. MBE and RMSE are in MJ/m²/day, MPE, and IA are in % while t – test is non-dimensional [42 – 43].

128 The proposed temperature PAR based models developed in this study are of the form:

129 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \ln \Delta T$ (15a)

130 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \Delta T^{0.5}$ (15b)

131 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)$ (15c)

132 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \Delta T^{0.5} + c \exp(\Delta T^{0.5})$ (15d)

133 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \Delta T + c(\Delta T)^2 + d \ln \Delta T$ (15e)

134 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right) + c \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)^2 + d \exp \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)$ (15f)

135 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \Delta T + c T_{mean} + d Tr$ (15g)

136 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = a + b \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right) + c \ln \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)$ (15h)

137 where PAR, PAR_0 and S_o are as previously defined. ΔT is the difference between the
138 monthly average daily maximum and minimum temperature i.e., $T_{max} - T_{min}$ and the
139 constants a , b and c are empirical coefficients determined by regression analysis and the
140 other terms are the model correlation parameters.

141 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

142 The evaluated temperature based models with their respective PAR empirical coefficients
143 developed in this study for Ikeja based on equations 15a – 15h are;

146 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = 0.186 + 0.182 \ln \Delta T$ (16a)

147 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = 0.193 + 0.130 \Delta T^{0.5}$ (16b)

148 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = 0.382 + 0.261 \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)$ (16c)

149 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = -1.04 + 0.842 \Delta T^{0.5} - 0.0436 \exp(\Delta T^{0.5})$ (16d)

150 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = -3.50 - 1.40 \Delta T + 0.0395 (\Delta T)^2 + 6.16 \ln \Delta T$ (16e)

151 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = -14.8 + 6.77 \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right) - 14.7 \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)^2 + 13.6 \exp \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)$ (16f)

152 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = -0.85 + 0.012 \Delta T + 0.0372 T_{mean} + 0.24 Tr$ (16g)

153 $\frac{PAR}{PAR_0} = 2.22 - 1.67 \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right) + 1.23 \ln \left(\frac{\Delta T}{S_o} \right)$ (16h)

154
155 **Table 1a. Validation of the PAR Temperature based models for Ikeja under different**
156 **statistical test**


Models	R ²	MBE	RMSE	MPE	t	IA
Eqn16a	54.5	-0.0082	0.5433	-0.4035	0.0504	70.0628
Eqn16b	52.5	-0.0139	0.5544	-0.3474	0.0831	68.0792
Eqn16c	52.7	-0.0028	0.5535	-0.4961	0.0169	67.7504
Eqn16d	66.4	-0.0236	0.4732	-0.0517	0.1658	81.3077
Eqn16e	71.4	0.4717	0.6538	-6.5012	3.4558	75.2840
Eqn16f	71.4	0.5478	0.7160	-7.4961	3.9405	72.7580
Eqn16g	80.9	0.0062	0.3415	-0.1974	0.0601	92.8712
Eqn16h	69.8	0.1073	0.4646	-1.7574	0.7876	82.5765

158 **Table 1b. Ranking of the evaluated PAR temperature based models for Ikeja based on**
 159 **the Statistical test**

Models	R ²	MBE	RMSE	MPE	t	IA	Total
Eqn16a	5	3	4	4	2	6	24
Eqn16b	7	4	6	3	4	7	31
Eqn16c	6	1	5	5	1	8	26
Eqn16d	4	5	3	1	5	3	21
Eqn16e	2	7	7	7	7	4	34
Eqn16f	2	8	8	8	8	5	39
Eqn16g	1	2	1	2	3	1	10
Eqn16h	3	6	2	6	6	2	25

160
 161 Table 1a displays the several different statistical tests used in this study. As shown in the
 162 table above, based on the R² the model, equation 16g has the highest value of 80.9 % and is
 163 the best model. On the MBE, the model equation 16c has the lowest value with
 164 underestimation of 0.0028 MJm⁻²day⁻¹ in its estimated value which is judged as the best
 165 model. Based on the RMSE the model equation 16g has the least value of 0.3415
 166 MJm⁻²day⁻¹ and is the best model. Based on the MPE the model, equation 16d has the
 167 minimum value with underestimation of 0.0517 % in the estimated value and is judged the
 168 best model, it is clear that all the models falls within the acceptable range of ($MPE \leq \pm 10\%$).
 169 On the t – test, the model equation 16c has the lowest value with 0.0169 and is the best
 170 model. Then based on the IA the model, equation 16g has the maximum value of 92.8712 %
 171 and is judged the best model.

172 Table 1b shows the ranking of the models which was done based on the validation of the
 173 models in (Table 1a). The total ranks gained by the different models ranged from 10 to 39.
 174 Hence, it is obvious according to the result obtained, the model equation 16g was observed
 175 to be the best for estimating Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) for Ikeja.



176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183

Fig 1. Monthly Variation of PAR for Ikeja

Fig. 1 displays the monthly variation of PAR for Ikeja during the investigation period (1984 – 2021). As shown above, the peak and the least value occurred in the month of April and July with $8.3426 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ and $6.4936 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ respectively. However both the peak and the least value falls under rainy season, and based on the dry season months like November, February and March have almost closed values with $8.2074 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$, $8.2121 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ and $8.3166 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ respectively.





Fig. 2. Comparison between the measured PAR and estimated temperature photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) based models for Ikeja

Fig. 2 shows comparison between the measured PAR and the estimated temperature Photosynthetically active radiation based models for Ikeja. It is clear from the figure that the model, equation 16f overestimated the measured PAR and other estimated temperature PAR based model in the months of January to February and in September to December with the peak value of $9.1619 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in February. The model, equation 16d underestimated other estimated temperature PAR based models and the measured PAR in January and April to May. Then the model, equation 16g underestimated the measured PAR and the rest of the estimated temperature PAR based models in August, October and December. The model, equation 16c also underestimated the measured PAR and other estimated temperature PAR based models in March. However the measured PAR underestimated the estimated temperature PAR based model in February that falls within the dry season and also in June, July and September that occurred in the rainy season with the minimum value of $6.4936 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in July. Furthermore, the measured PAR overestimated the estimated temperature PAR based models in the months of April and May.



203 **Fig. 3. Monthly variation of PAR with relative humidity over Ikeja during the period of**
 204 **thirty-eight years (1984 – 2021).**
 205

206 Fig. 3 shows the monthly variation of Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) with relative
 207 humidity for the study area under investigation. The PAR increases from January to its peak
 208 value of $8.3426 \text{ MJm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in April and then decreases to July with the minimum value of
 209 $6.4936 \text{ MJm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$. The Relative humidity rises from January to July then decreases to
 210 August and further increases to its peak value of 88.8432 % in the month of September, then
 211 started descending from September down to December. The PAR then rises from July to
 212 August and slightly decreases to September and then increases sharply to November and
 213 fall to December.





Fig. 4. Monthly variation of PAR with wind speed over Ikeja during the period of thirty-eight years (1984 – 2021).

Fig. 4 shows the monthly variation of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) with wind speed for the study Area. It can be seen clearly that as the wind speed increases from January to March then decreases to May. The PAR rises from January to its maximum value of $8.3426 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in April and started descending from April to its lowest value of $6.4936 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in the month of July. However the wind speed increases from May to its highest value of 3.9939 ms^{-1} in August and then dropped sharply to its minimum value of 2.1337 ms^{-1} in December. The PAR also increases from July to August and decreases slightly to September, then further increases to November and drop to December.



225
 226 **Fig. 5. Monthly variation of PAR with Mean temperature over Ikeja during the period of**
 227 **thirty-eight years (1984 – 2021).**
 228 Fig. 5 shows the monthly variation of Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) with mean
 229 temperature for Ikeja during the investigation period. As the PAR increases from January to
 230 its highest value of $8.3426 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in April and then falls down to its least value of
 231 $6.4936 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in the month of July. The mean temperature also increases from
 232 January to its peak point in March with the value of $28.0133 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and then decreases to its
 233 least value of $25.1489 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the month of August. However, the PAR rises from July to
 234 August and slightly decreases to September, then increases to November. Similarly the
 235 mean temperature increases from August uniformly to September and then both the PAR
 236 and mean temperature dropped from November to December.




Fig. 6. Monthly variation of PAR with Global solar radiation over Ikeja during the period of thirty-eight years (1984 – 2021).

Fig. 6 displays monthly variation of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) with global solar radiation for the study Area. It is well clear that the PAR and the global solar radiation vary similarly with each other, meaning that they are going or moving one behind the other. As show in the figure above, the global solar radiation increases from January to its maximum value of $18.5391 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in April and then moves down to its minimum value of $14.4303 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in the month of July. The PAR similarly increases from January to its highest value of $8.3426 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in April and then decreases to its lowest value of $6.4936 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in July. As the global solar radiation rises from July to August the PAR also rises from July to August and then both of them slightly decreases from August to September showing that they are in tandem with each other. However almost similarly both the PAR and global solar radiation further increases sharply from September to November and at the same month from November they both dropped to December.

4. CONCLUSION

Photosynthetically active radiation is the quantity of light energy that can be obtained for photosynthesis to take place and are usually within the wavelength range of 400 to 700 nm. This paper addresses the issue of estimation and investigation of Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) for Ikeja (latitude 6.58°N , longitude 3.33°E and 39.4 m above sea level) located in the coastal region of Nigeria. The data used in this study were obtained from the archives of National Aeronautics and space Administration (NASA). The monthly average daily global solar radiation, relative humidity, wind speed, minimum and maximum temperature during the period of thirty-eight years (1984-2021) were utilized in this work. In this present investigation, eight (8) new temperature PAR based models were developed and compared on the basis of statistical indicators of coefficient of determination (R^2), Mean Bias Error (MBE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Percentage Error (MPE), t – test

and index of Agreement (IA). The result shows that Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) for Ikeja is higher with $8.3426 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in April and is low with $6.4936 \text{ MJm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ in July that occurred both in the rainy season. Based on the new temperature PAR based models developed, the best model for Ikeja is (model equation 16g). The variation of PAR with global solar radiation indicate that a direct relationship exist between them for the location.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the management and staff of National Aeronautics and space Administration (NASA) for making all the data used in this study available online. The suggestions of the anonymous reviewers are well appreciated.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

This work was carried out in collaboration among all the authors. The data for the work was sourced and analyzed by author DOA. Author DOA supervised the work. The work was drafted and edited by Author AKI. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Wild M. Global dimming and brightening: A review. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres. 2009; 114(21):D00D16.
2. McCree KJ. Test of current definitions on photosynthetically active radiation. Agricultural Meteorology. 1972; 10: 443-453.
3. Alados I, Alados-Arboledas L. Validation of an empirical model for photosynthetically active radiation. Int. J. Climatol. 1999; 19: 1145-1152.
4. Jacovides CP, Timvios FS, Papaioannou G, Asimakopoulos DN, Theofilou CM. Ratio of PAR to broadband solar radiation measured in Cyprus. Agric. For. Meteorol. 2004; 121: 135-140.
5. Udo SO, Aro TO. Global PAR related to global solar radiation for central Nigeria. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. 1999; 97: 21-31.
6. Tsubo M, Walker S. Relationships between photosynthetically active radiation and clearness index at Bloemfontein, South Africa. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 2005; 80: 17-25.
7. Nwokolo SC, Ogbulezie JC, Toge CK, John-Jaja SA. Photosynthetically active radiation estimation and modeling over different climatic zones in Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Ideology research International., <http://sciencedomain.org/ijournal/37/articles-press> 2017.
8. Akpootu DO, Iliyasu MI, Abubakar MB, Rabi AM, Mustapha W, Okany CI, Salifu SI. Developing Empirical Model for Estimating Photosynthetically Active Radiation over

- 310 Akure, South western, Nigeria. International Journal of Advances in scientific Research and
311 Engineering (IJASRE).2019a; 5(10): 59-73. DOI: 10.31695/AJASRE. 2019. 33546
- 312 9. Nwokolo SC, Ogbulezie JC, Obiwulu AU. Impact of climate change and meteo – solar
313 parameters on Photosynthetically active radiation prediction using hybrid machine learning
314 with physics – based models. Advances in space research.2022;1-24. DOI:
315 10.1016/j.asr.22.08.010
- 316 10. Nwokolo, SC, Proutsos N, Meyer EL, Ahia CC. Machine learning and physics-based
317 Hybridization Models for evaluation of the effects of climate change and Urban expansion on
318 Photosynthetically active radiation. *Atmosphere*2023; 14,687, [https://doi-](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos14040687)
319 [org/10.3390/atmos14040687](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos14040687)
- 320 11. Etuk SE, Okechukwu EA, Nwokolo CS. Modelling and Estimating Photosynthetically
321 Active Radiation from Measured Global Solar Radiation at Calabar, Nigeria. *Physical*
322 *Science International Journal*.2016; 1 2(2): 1 12.
- 323 12. Etuk SE, Samuel NC, Agbasi OE, Sylva JA. Analysis of Photosynthetically active
324 radiation over six tropical ecological zones in Nigeria. *Journal of Geography, Environment*
325 *and earth science international (JGEESI)*. 2016; 7(10): 1 – 15. DOI: 10.
326 9734/JGEESI/2016/27945
- 327 13. Nwokolo SC, Ogbulezie JC, John-jaja SA. Relationship between photosynthetically
328 active radiation with global solar radiation using empirical model over selected climatic zones
329 in Nigeria. *International journal of physics Research*.2018;6(1) 1-7.
- 330
- 331 14. Noriega-Gardea MM, Corral-Martínez LF, Anguiano-Morales M, Trujillo-Schiaffino G,
332 Salas-Peimbert DP. Empirical model for the estimation of photosynthetically active radiation
333 in the city of Chihuahua and its zone of influence. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*.2023
334 Apr 10:1-9.
- 335
- 336 15. Aragão WF, Zolin CA, Behling M, Pezzopane JR, Ximenes ES, Flumignan DL,
337 Magalhães CA. Transmission of photosynthetically active radiation and the productivities of
338 soybean and maize in agroforestry systems. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*. 2023.
- 339
- 340 16. Iqbal M. An introduction to solar radiation, first ed. Academic Press, New York.1983;
- 341 17. Zekai S. Solar energy fundamentals and modeling techniques: atmosphere,
342 Environment, climate change and renewable energy, first ed. Springer, London. 2008;
- 343 18. Howell TA, Meek DW, Hatfield JL. Relationship of photosynthetically active radiation to
344 shortwave radiation in the San Joaquin Valley. *Agric For Meteorol*, 28:157–
345 175. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-1571\(83\)90005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-1571(83)90005-5).1983;
- 346 19. Li R, Zhao L, Ding YJ, Wang S, Ji GL. Monthly ratios of PAR to global solar radiation
347 measured at northern Tibetan Plateau, China. *Sol Energy*.2010; 84:964–
348 973. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2010.03.005>
- 349 20. Monteith JL, Unsworth M. Principle of environmental physics. Second ed. Edward Arnold,
350 London. 1990;

- 351 21. Gates DM. Biophysical ecology. Third ed. Springer-Verlag, New York.1980;
- 352 22. Akpootu DO, Mustapha W, Rabiun AM, Iliyasu MI, Abubakar MB, Yusuf SO, Salifu SI.
353 Estimation of Surface Water Vapour Density and Its Variation with Other Meteorological
354 Parameters Over Owerri, South Eastern, Nigeria. Hydrology.2019b; 7(3): 46-55.
355
- 356 23. Akpootu DO, Iliyasu MI, Nouhou I, Aina AO, Idris M, Mustapha W, Ohaji DE,
357 Muhammad, AD. Estimation and Variation of Saturation Mixing Ratio and Mixing Ratio over
358 Potiskum, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science.2022a; 30(1), 49-54. DOI:
359 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njbas.v30i1.7>
360
- 361 24. Akpootu DO, Sanusi YA. A New Temperature-Based Model for Estimating Global Solar
362 Radiation in Port-Harcourt, South-South Nigeria. The International Journal of Engineering
363 And Science.2015. 4(1), 63-73.
- 364 25. El-Sabaii A, Trabea A. Estimation of Global Solar Radiation on Horizontal Surfaces Over
365 Egypt. Egypt. J. Solids. 2005;28 (1), 163-175.
- 366
- 367 26. Akpootu DO, Iliyasu MI, Olomiyesan BM, Fagbemi SA, Sharafa SB, Idris M, Abdullahi Z,
368 Meseke NO. MULTIVARIATE MODELS FOR ESTIMATING GLOBAL SOLAR RADIATION
369 IN JOS, NIGERIA. Matrix Science Mathematic (MSMK).2022b; 6(1) 05-12. DOI:
370 <http://doi.org/10.26480/mkmmk.01.2022.05.12>
- 371 27. Bevington PR. Data reduction and error analysis for the physical sciences, first ed.
372 McGraw Hill Book Co., New York. 1969;
- 373
- 374 28. Akpootu DO, Abdullahi Z. DEVELOPMENT OF SUNSHINE BASED MODELS FOR
375 ESTIMATING GLOBAL SOLAR RADIATION OVER KANO AND IKEJA, NIGERIA. FUDMA
376 Journal of Sciences (FJS).2022; Vol. 6 No. 3, June, 2022, pp 290 – 300. DOI:
377 <https://doi.org/10.33003/fjs-2022-0603-1001>
378
- 379 29. Akpootu DO, Alaiyemola SR, Abdulsalam MK, Bello G, Umar M, Aruna S, Isah AK,
380 Aminu Z, Abdullahi Z, Badmus TO. Sunshine and Temperature Based Models for Estimating
381 Global Solar Radiation in Maiduguri, Nigeria. Saudi Journal of Engineering and Technology.
382 2023; 8(5): 82-90. DOI: 10.36348/sjet.2023.v08i05.001
- 383 30. Chen R, Ersi K, Yang J, Lu S, Zhao W. Validation of five global radiation Models with
384 measured daily data in China. Energy Conversion and Management.2004; 45, 1759-1769.
- 385 31. Merges HO, Ertekin C, Sonmete MH. Evaluation of global solar radiation Models for
386 Konya, Turkey. Energy Conversion and Management.2006; 47, 3149-3173.
- 387
- 388 32. Gana NN, Akpootu DO. Angstrom Type Empirical Correlation for Estimating Global Solar
389 Radiation in North- Eastern Nigeria. The International Journal of Engineering And Science.
390 2013a; 2(11), 58-78. ISSN: 2319-1813
391
- 392 33. Gana NN, Akpootu DO. Estimation of global solar radiation using four sunshine based
393 models in Kebbi, North-Western, Nigeria. Pelagia Research Library. 2013b; 4(5), 409-421.
394 ISSN: 0976-8610.
395

- 396 34. Akpootu DO, Gana NN. Comparative Study of Global Solar Radiation between Nguru
397 and Abuja. A paper presented at the 24th Annual Congress and Colloquium of the Nigerian
398 Association of Mathematical Physics held at University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria on the
399 25th-28th February, 2014. 2014;
400
- 401 35. Olomiyesan BM, Akpootu DO, Oyedum DO, Olubusade JE, Adebunmi SO. Evaluation of
402 Global Solar Radiation Models Performance using Global Performance Indicator (GPI): A
403 Case Study of Ado Ekiti, South West, Nigeria. A paper presented at the 43rd Annual Nigerian
404 Institute of Physics, National Conference, held at the NnamdiAzikiwe University, Awka,
405 Anambra State May 26-29, 2021. 2021;
- 406 36. Akpootu DO, Momoh M. Empirical Model for Estimating Global Solar Radiation in
407 Makurdi, Benue State, North Central Nigeria. A paper presented at the 36th Annual Nigerian
408 Institute of Physics, National Conference, held at the Department of Physics, University of
409 Uyo, Nigeria on May 26-29, 2014. 2014;
- 410 37. Akpootu DO, Sulu HT. A Comparative Study of Various Sunshine Based Models for
411 Estimating Global Solar Radiation in Zaria, North-Western, Nigeria. International Journal of
412 Technology Enhancements and Emerging Engineering Research. 2015; 3(12), 1 – 5.
- 413 38. Akpootu DO, Iliyasu MI. A Comparative Study of some Meteorological Parameters for
414 Predicting Global Solar Radiation in Kano, Nigeria Based on Three Variable Correlations.
415 Advances in Physics Theories and Applications. 2015a; Vol.49: 1 – 9.
- 416 39. Akpootu DO, Iliyasu MI. The Impact of some Meteorological Variables on the Estimation
417 of Global Solar Radiation in Kano, North Western, Nigeria. Journal of Natural Sciences
418 Research. 2015b; Vol.5, No.22: 1 – 13.
- 419 40. Akpootu DO, Tijjani BI, Gana UM. Empirical models for predicting global solar radiation
420 using meteorological parameters for Sokoto, Nigeria. International Journal of Physical
421 Research. 2019c; 7(2): 48 – 60. DOI: 10.14419/ijpr.v7i2.29160
- 422 41. Akpootu DO, Tijjani BI, Gana UM. Sunshine and Temperature Dependent Models for
423 Estimating Global Solar Radiation Across the Guinea Savannah Climatic Zone of
424 Nigeria. American Journal of Physics and Applications. 2019d; 7(5): 125-135. doi:
425 10.11648/j.ajpa.20190705.15.
- 426 42. Akpootu DO, Tijjani BI, Gana UM. New temperature dependent models for estimating
427 global solar radiation across the midland climatic zone of Nigeria. International Journal of
428 Physical Research. 2019e; 7(2): 70 – 80. DOI: 10.14419/ijpr.v7i2.29214.
- 429 43. Akpootu DO, Tijjani BI, Gana UM. New temperature dependent models for estimating
430 global solar radiation across the coastal climatic zone of Nigeria. International Journal of
431 Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering (ijasre). 2019f; 5(9): 126 – 141. DOI:
432 10.31695/IJASRE.2019.33523.
433
434
435
436
437
438