

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_111035
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant Neck Lymphangioma in Adult with Ethanol Ablation Treatment: A Rare Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. Yes, the manuscript is important in view of the scientific community because as far as the study area is concerned about there are very few studies conducted and reported mainly because of its rare occurrence, so this case report shall be beneficial and would serve as one of the basis for the development of treatment protocols.  2. Yes, the title is apt and suitable  3. The abstract needs a small correction, because the conclusion that is stated in abstract is not relevant with the conclusion in the manuscript (in abstract it is stated that radiofrequency ablation is safe but in final conclusion, ethanol ablation is stated safe, so this would create an ambiguous state in the mind of the reader)  4. Yes the subsections and the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.  5. The manuscript according to the therapeutic approach in the treatment of lymphangioma is correct and is scientifically appropriate.  6. The references stated are appropriate and would have been more evidence based if some more atleast if 15 references are stated.  As this is a very rare condition it would have been better if in short the etiological factors, pathogenesis and clinical presentations are stated because this would give the complete knowledge about the condition to the reader.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article should be improved and there are many grammar mistakes. As this is a scientific medical paper the quality of the English and the extensive use of medical terms to describe the conditions would sound best rather than using simple English terms.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As the study focuses on the intervention ( the Ethanol Ablation) carried out it is very much essential to describe the procedure and the role of ethanol in the procedure and how this procedure is more beneficial compared to other ablative procedures.  The introduction that is in the abstract in the same exact sentences that are stated in the actual introduction, which would create a opinion in the minds of reader that the same things are been said again and again and there is no need to study the complete paper, this is how the interest of the reader is lost.	

Review Form 1.7

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Monika. L</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India</b>