

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_111326 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Yield Maximization of Bt cotton through agro-techniques |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | Overall, this manuscript stands to offer significant contributions to the agricultural science. | Okay |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | That is looks okay. However, if you want you can use: Optimizing Bt cotton Yield:The impact of Agro Technological Interventions. | Okay |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Abstract: the abstract effectively summarize the research, including the experimental setup, significant findings, and recommendation, However, consider simplifying complex sentences to enhance clarity, please. | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | Yes looks good | |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | Experiment description, Result and Discussion. The detail description of the experimental set-up and the clarity in the presentation of the results are commendable. You've effectively used comparative analysis to demonstrate the impact of different agro techniques. The discussion ties the results to the broader context of Bt cotton cultivation, which is excellent. Ensure consistency in the usage of scientific terms and measurement. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is well structured and informative. | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |