

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110971
Title of the Manuscript:	Weed management practices on growth, yield and economics of kharif grain sorghum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>Studying weed management practices on the growth, yield, and economics of kharif grain sorghum is essential to optimize crop productivity and profitability. By implementing effective weed control strategies, farmers can minimize yield losses, reduce production costs, and enhance the overall sustainability of kharif grain sorghum cultivation.</p> <p>Article title was suitable. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of Weed management practices</p> <p>Structure and subsections are good.</p> <p>This manuscript has all the advantages of a research article and it is scientifically important.</p> <p>Manuscript has sufficient references.</p>	<b>Agreed</b>     <b>Agreed</b> <b>Agreed</b>  <b>Agreed</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>The written language of the article was understandable for the readers.</p>	<b>Agreed</b>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		<b>Agreed with reviewer</b> <b>Author guidelines followed according to the suggestions of the reviewer</b>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No