

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_110604
Title of the Manuscript:	IN CONSTRUCTIVE AND INFORMAL MATHEMATICS, IN CONTRADISTINCTION TO ANY EMPIRICAL SCIENCE, THERE ARE NON-TRIVIALY TRUE STATEMENTS WITH THE PREDICATE OF THE CURRENT KNOWLEDGE IN THE SUBJECT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>In this paper the authors introduce and studied current mathematical knowledge K which is a formal and constructive mathematics. The result obtained in the manuscript is interesting and new for the reader. Hence, I recommended for publication in Asian Research Journal of Mathematics.</p>	<p>Elaborates/define the concept of ZFC. I am answering: Please see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zermelo%E2%80%93Fraenkel_set_theory I shortened the abstract.</p> <p>Justify the statement</p> <p>Justify the statement</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Abstract should be written in concise words.Justify the statement <p>Any theorem of any mathematician from past or present belongs to K.</p>	<p>Any theorem of any mathematician from past or present belongs to K. The following text from section 1 justifies it. Let T denote the set of twin primes. We assume that the current mathematical knowledge K is a finite set of statements</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I added the following text: Competing interests: The author has declared that no competing interests exist