

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_111113
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Appendectomy in Complicated Appendicitis-Narrative review article
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community as it is giving a narrative of laparoscopic appendectomy. The manuscript also depicts the current scenario of the treatment procedure of complicated appendicitis.</p> <p>Yes, Title is perfect</p> <p>Abstract is very comprehensive</p> <p>It is a review and its subsections & structure is appropriate</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct as the article depicts laparoscopic appendectomy is safe and reliable because of its risk free post operative infections and its low outcome of intra-abdominal abscess.</p> <p>Authors have provided 44 references, which is sufficient enough for this review article.</p>	<p>Thank you for the comments.</p> <p>I have obtained and included the references of laparoscopic appendectomy in complicated appendicitis and I feel these references are adequate.</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language is very suitable to communicate scientific community.</p>	<p>Thank You</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	