

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_111113
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Appendectomy in Complicated Appendicitis-Narrative review article
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 The article is important however it should be cited somewhere there are few indications for conservative treatment in complicated appendicitis.</p> <p>2 I suggest Complicated appendicitis – is still open surgery standard of care?</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive however I would change the order of the keywords.</p> <p>4. Introduction is too long. I changed somethings but I suggest you to do a smaller one. The focus of the review is the discussion and there is some repeated information.</p> <p>5. Yes. However I don’t agree with some sentences written so I crossed the text.</p> <p>6 References are sufficient and recent but not all references are cited in the text – for example “ Wang X, Zhang W, Yang X, Shao J, Zhou X, Yuan J. Complicated appendicitis in children: is laparoscopic appendectomy appropriate? A comparative study with the open appendectomy-our experience. J Pediatr Surg. 2009 Oct;44(10):1924–7. is not cited”. Also, references are not well made – there are many kind of references with different styles and some do not have the year of publication.</p>	<p>I will take note of the suggested title but my review was on laparoscopic appendectomy only so a change to this title will mean including references of open appendectomy in complicated appendicitis.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>I have made some changes but there are sections on the method of closure and use of intra-abdominal drain which I have not changed as these methods are used in my region of the world.</p> <p>Thank you, I will review the references section</p> <p>This reference is cited as reference no 35 and included in the first chapter of laparoscopic appendectomy in complicated appendicitis in children</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, there were some orthographic errors which I corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In separate is send a file with some suggestions. Most important of all there can’t be a table not cited in the text.</p>	<p>Noted, all the contents in the table have been cited in the references section</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	<p>There is no ethical issues as it is a review article.</p>