

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110841
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of the Construction of Smart Healthy Elderly Care City: An Aspect of Foshan City, Guangdong Province
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article is valuable and deserves to be published. However, there are some issues that must be improved to enhance its communication. The authors need to identify the gap in the existing literature in the introduction and support it with a literature review. In my opinion, the article fails to establish the necessity of a new evaluation system for smart healthcare. The authors should mention the shortcomings of previous evaluation systems and explain how their proposed system will overcome these limitations. The authors should provide more evidence of their proposal's construction, enabling its replication for future studies. I suggest the authors check out my recent papers on smart home devices that can help with these issues. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-02-2022-0232 https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2022.2146534 https://doi.org/10.7903/cmr.22539	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diego Fettermann
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil