

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110841
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of the Construction of Smart Healthy Elderly Care City: An Aspect of Foshan City, Guangdong Province
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript provides an important analysis of the development of smart healthy elderly care cities, using Foshan City as a case study. This is valuable for both the research community as well as policymakers interested in aging societies.</p> <p>2. The title reflects the content and focus of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract comprehensively summarizes the key aspects of the paper - the concept of smart healthy cities, the evaluation index system proposed, the empirical analysis of Foshan City, and strategies suggested.</p> <p>4. The structure with an introduction, literature review, methods, results & discussion, and conclusion sections is appropriate. The subsections within each part are also logically organized.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically sound overall. The methods and analysis are clearly laid out.</p> <p>6. The references seem sufficient - over 40 sources are cited spanning Chinese and English literature. Most references are from the past 5 years indicating recency. If newer/additional relevant studies are available, they could be incorporated.</p> <p>7. The language and English quality are suitable. The writing is clear and understandable throughout.</p>	<p>Ok</p> <p>Noted</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There may be some minor issues to improve :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missing articles: "is strategic measure" should be "is a strategic measure" (Abstract) Incorrect prepositions: "research on evaluation index" should be "research on an evaluation index" (Introduction) <p>Missing words: "Considering availability of data" should have "the" before availability (Methodology)</p> <p>Capitalization inconsistencies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Smart city" vs "smart City" "Healthy city" vs "healthy City" <p>Long, complex sentences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Strengthening the construction...complex sentences" (Literature Review) "The development of wisdom and health care..." (Literature Review) <p>Typos/spacing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extra space in some references: "Architectural Journal, 2020 (S2)" Missing periods at ends of some references 	<p>Ok</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	