

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109607
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING STUDENTS’ LEVEL OF AWARENESS OF THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS: THE WAY FORWARD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. Yes, the topic addressed in the article is an important and current one - the awareness level among students regarding the importance of respecting human rights.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes, the summary is appropriate. It addresses the main objective of the research, the methodological aspects, and presents the main results and implications. However, the methodological aspects could be synthesized to achieve a shorter length of the abstract and facilitate its reading and understanding of the article's main message.</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure of the article is logical and in line with the research objective. It is recommended that the first sentence of the introduction either abandon the numbering of the main parts (which do not correspond to the numbering of the article paragraphs) or align the two enumerations.</p> <p>5. Yes, the article provides a review of the literature relevant to the main aspects addressed and a methodologically sound empirical research. The conclusions include implications for society, making it relevant for researchers and the academic community.</p> <p>6. Yes, the bibliography is quite accurate.</p> <p>There are small modifications/ corrections recommended for the text:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- the sentence „<i>The findings showed that students had a high level of human rights awareness about awareness of the right to life, while awareness of the right to a speedy trial was moderate and the least about human rights awareness</i>” within the abstract should be reformulated regarding the last aspect, as it is not about a specific right but a general one;</li><li>- in table 2, two rows are repeated, but with different statistical results: 1-2 “Awareness of the right to life” and 7-8 “Awareness of the right to work”;</li><li>- Creswell &amp; Creswell reference does not appear in the text, so it needs to be introduced—probably in the methodology paragraph, where there is an unattributed quote;</li><li>- the bibliography should be strictly in alphabetical order—Robinson (2017) appears after Russell &amp; Suarez, and make sure to check the mention of all elements - location: publisher should be indicated for books: (there are minor corrections needed for Babbie; Krejcie &amp; Morgan).</li></ul>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Modified as suggested</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>1. Yes.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Overall, it is a well-crafted article with a clear message, methodologically sound, and with an unproblematic similarity index. The work can be considered relevant for researchers in the field and the academic community.</p>	<p>Done</p>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	