

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SAJ SSE_105548 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Federal Reserve's Influence Over The Bangladeshi Taka |
| Type of the Article | Case study |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | yes yes No as the abstract does not even mention the conclusions. Partially yes No. the discussions are in the realm of speculation. Yes | Noted |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | |
| Optional/General comments | 1.The abstract does not include conclusions. 2. At the start of every section what is discussed in the previous section is summarizes which is unnecessary and can be deleted 3. Most of the discussions in the paper are very general and speculative in nature and lack technical depth. 4. it is not understood why the authors have not done correlations fot the other economies compared (India, Sri Lanka and Vietnam) 5 he conclusions that the depreciation of Taka vis –a vis dollar if due to EFF rate is not based on any evidence and is only a conjecture. The conclusion that it is just a coincidence is of no value to the researchers in the field. | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |