

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_111227
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacognostical study of Tridax procumbens Linn
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Major revision</b>  1. Give separate photograph of Leaf and flower in macroscopy  2. Include details of quantitative microscopy and mention how stomatal index was calculated.  3. Include details of microscopic structures with their details like lignified or nonlignified or cutinous etc. as author used different staining agents.  4. Give a elaborative conclusion  1) <b>Tridax procumbens Linn is very common and many research work has been carried out. Please clearly mention aim and objective.</b>  2) Title is not matching with the studies carried out. As Pharmacognostical study includes other parameters also like Ash values and Extractive values.  3) <b>No</b>  4) <b>sub sections are not properly arrange</b>  5) <b>Yes</b>  6) <b>Reference are not sufficient the given references are old kindly suggest to cite the recent.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Ok	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohd Mukhtar Khan
Department, University & Country	Y.B. Chavan College of Pharmacy, India