Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_109834
Title of the Manuscript:	Techno-Economic Assessment of Distributed Generation in Nigeria's Low Voltage Distribution Network
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
 Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	 The article has important scientific significance. Justified technical and economic calculations in electrical distribution networks with minimal error and costs for providing household consumers with electricity using a renewable energy source confirm the high significance of the article. The name is completely appropriate. Yes. Yes. Completely true. Considering that the articles mainly make references to sources covering the last 3-4 years. The list of references fully corresponds to the criterion of the relevance of the problem and ways to solve it. 	
Minor REVISION comments1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The text of the article is written in understandable language for the scientific community.	
Optional/General comments	Having reviewed the article provided, I would like to note that it is written in high quality. The solutions to the problems given in the abstract and in the text of the article are fully reflected in the conclusion.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saidjon Tavarov
Department, University & Country	Institute of Engineering and Technology, South Ural State University, Russia

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)