

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110436
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of new Hibiscus rosa-sinensis cultivar Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose in West Bengal, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. For the scientific community, this article is important. 2. The article title is appropriate. 3. The summary of the article is not comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the article are not appropriate. 5. I don't think the article is scientifically correct. 6. References are not sufficient and up to date. References are not appropriate.	As per the suggestions, the manuscript has been revised.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. The language/English quality of the article is not suitable for scientific communication.	Humble request to respected reviewer to give corrections in the manuscript, where we need to improve the article. The reviewer is neither corrected or nor pointed out a single correction in the manuscript but he/she made comments " English quality of the article is not suitable for scientific communication "
Optional/General comments	The article needs to be revised and corrected with more detailed information. In addition to the scientific importance of the article, it is not appropriate to publish it due to many problems such as insufficient parameters examined, spelling errors, lack of references and lack of up-to-dateness.	Please let us know the which parameters need to be improved? Please let us know where spelling was wrong in the manuscript? Please let us know where lack of up-to-dateness in the manuscript? We cited more recent articles published in 2023 was cited in the manuscript.

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No