

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110436
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of new Hibiscus rosa-sinensis cultivar Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose in West Bengal, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, because the method used has quite good novelty results Yes, the title used is quite appropriate Yes, the abstract written has an appropriate comprehensive level of writing Yes, but there needs to be in-depth discussion and analysis on several sub-topics Yes, the research presented has factual relevance	Thank you so much for valuable comments sir/madam
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the language used is quite systematic and easy to understand	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is suggested that the author can use references that are proportional and appropriate to needs It is suggested that the author can add elaboration to the relationship between parental selection and hybridisation It is suggested that the author can add analysis to the characteristics of the results that have been achieved (especially in the propagation review)	Yes, we agree to update the desired things. Thanks for your valuable comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No