

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_111245
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictivity of Human Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (h-TERT) in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Immunohistochemical Study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript contributes valuable insights into molecular mechanisms involved in oral carcinogenesis and proposes h-TERT as a biomarker for assessing the cellular malignant progression of oral carcinomas. Overall, the manuscript provides important information that may guide future research and clinical applications in field of oral cancer diagnosis.</p> <p>The title effectively communicates the focus of the research and its methodology.</p> <p>The abstract provides a clear overview of the study, highlighting the aims, study design, methodology, results and conclusion.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is structured in a proper way</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Expand the list of references</p>	I agree with the reviewer comments, but I think the number of references is enough.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is generally good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	