

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110757
Title of the Manuscript:	Adherence to NICE guidelines for Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) Prophylaxis in Surgical Patients – Audit Examining the Impact of Electronic Prescribing and Medicines Administration (EPMA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1] Yes it is important for scientific community. It Prescribing and Medicines Administration (ePMA) system, to identify areas for improvement in patient care and policy development 2] Yes it is but make title short too long title is there is should be one line. 3] Pls do not include words such as Result and conclusion in the Abstract of Manuscript. 4] Use a good quality of writing skills when writing the manuscript. It's below average. 5] Its correct but if you validate results with one more method that will be great. It will give validation to obtained results. 6] References used here are dated 2019-2022 use the latest research article recent years of 2021-2023. Make count upto 30. 7] Results shown in diagram are very basic use good pictorial diagram.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications it is very average level use quality language for manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript should be acceptable with Minor changes 1] Quality of writing should be there 2] use good diagram to show results obtained 3] validate results 4] increases latest reference used up to 30 count.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suhas Gaikwad
Department, University & Country	India