

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110757
Title of the Manuscript:	Adherence to NICE guidelines for Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) Prophylaxis in Surgical Patients – Audit Examining the Impact of Electronic Prescribing and Medicines Administration (EPMA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1] Yes it is important for scientific community. It Prescribing and Medicines Administration (ePMA) system, to identify areas for improvement in patient care and policy development  2] Yes it is but make title short too long title is there is should be one line.  3] Pls do not include words such as Result and conclusion in the Abstract of Manuscript.  4] Use a good quality of writing skills when writing the manuscript. It's below average.  5] Its correct but if you validate results with one more method that will be great. It will give validation to obtained results.  6] References used here are dated 2019-2022 use the latest research article recent years of 2021-2023. Make count upto 30.  7] Results shown in diagram are very basic use good pictorial diagram.	Reviewers comments noted. Relevant changes made.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications it is very average level use quality language for manuscript	Reviewers comments noted. Relevant changes made
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript should be acceptable with Minor changes 1] Quality of writing should be there 2] use good diagram to show results obtained 3] validate results 4] increases latest reference used up to 30 count.	Reviewer's comments noted. Relevant changes made

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Reviewer's comments noted with thanks