

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_110561
Title of the Manuscript:	Mental Health Assessment of Medical Students in Tanta University, Across Sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. This work is a valuable contribution to the field of knowledge concerning mental health of college / medical students, and proposes helpful intervention to help remediate mental illness at the university level. 2. Consider revising to: "Mental Health Assessment of Medical Students in Tanta University, A Cross-Sectional Study" 3. The results should describe the actual N of the study "25.3% (N=752)" 4. Subsections are well structured. 5. The scientific accuracy of the study seems sound. 6. More recent analysis of pandemic-related medical student mental health challenges should be included: (i.e. Pu Peng, et al. 2023. The prevalence and risk factors of mental problems in medical students during COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mental Health Among Medical Students During COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis etc. Frontiers in Psychology.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. Authors should consider enlisting a English editor to provide more fluency in verb tense, and word choice.	
Optional/General comments	 The manuscript topic is timely, the method seems robust, and the results are thoughtfully discussed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kate J. F. Carnevale
Department, University & Country	Dr. Kiran C. Patel College of Allopathic Medicine, Nova Southeastern University, USA