## Review Form 1.7

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **International Journal of Plant & Soil Science** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_IJPSS_110948** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Unveiling indigenous traditional knowledge (ITKs) using different available plants by the local people of South Sikkim, India** |
| Type of the Article | |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments<br><br>**1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?**<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>**2. Is the title of the article suitable?**<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>**3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?**<br><br>**4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?**<br><br>**5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?**<br><br>**6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.**<br><br>**(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)** | The study contributes to the preservation of traditional wisdom. This is crucial as indigenous knowledge often holds valuable insights into sustainable practices, biodiversity, and cultural heritage.<br><br>The title effectively communicates the study's focus on revealing indigenous traditional knowledge and its practical applications involving diverse plants among the local population in South Sikkim, India, providing a clear and comprehensive overview.<br>But, find other word of "Unveiling" Please simplify.<br><br>Please rephrase the abstract, it is more likely AI generated if I'm not mistaken.<br><br>In general, the manuscript's structure is satisfactory; however, the inclusion of the "STUDY AREA" seems necessary within the methodology section not in the introduction part.<br><br>I believe the manuscript is scientifically sound as it relies on the data they have collected.<br><br>I believe the references are adequate; although some are older, they are not excessively so, making them reasonably acceptable. | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments<br><br>**1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | The language they use is straightforward, employing simple words that are easy to understand. | |
| **Optional/General** comments | Overall, the paper is informative and holds relevance within the field of science. | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |

## Review Form 1.7

**Reviewer Details:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | **Rachel J Sarol** |
| Department, University & Country | **Philippines** |