

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110948
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling indigenous traditional knowledge (ITKs) using different available plants by the local people of South Sikkim, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The study contributes to the preservation of traditional wisdom. This is crucial as indigenous knowledge often holds valuable insights into sustainable practices, biodiversity, and cultural heritage.</p> <p>The title effectively communicates the study's focus on revealing indigenous traditional knowledge and its practical applications involving diverse plants among the local population in South Sikkim, India, providing a clear and comprehensive overview. But, find other word of “Unveiling” Please simplify.</p> <p>Please rephrase the abstract, it is more likely AI generated if I'm not mistaken.</p> <p>In general, the manuscript's structure is satisfactory; however, the inclusion of the "STUDY AREA" seems necessary within the methodology section not in the introduction part.</p> <p>I believe the manuscript is scientifically sound as it relies on the data they have collected.</p> <p>I believe the references are adequate; although some are older, they are not excessively so, making them reasonably acceptable.</p>	Ok
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language they use is straightforward, employing simple words that are easy to understand.</p>	Noted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Overall, the paper is informative and holds relevance within the field of science.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	