

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_110976
Title of the Manuscript:	PENOPUBIC EPISPADIAS IN A 40YEAR OLD MAN: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1 yes but as you know it is common to find epispadias what is unique to yours please 2 yes but the mentioned issue 3 yes but extensive information 4 no, not structured 5 fair 6 except the second reference the others extremely old and difficult for updated information. As you know the more you update, case reports will become more common cases and not be novel. I want to thank you about your treatment achievement.	1. Epispadias as a component of bladder exstrophy epispadias complex (BEEC) is common but isolated male epispadias is rare and our case is unique because the patient was married for 20 years with issues relating to sexual and reproductive potentials 2. The title has been modified to ISOLATED MALE EPISPADIAS WITH CONTINENCE IN A 40 YEAR OLD: A CASE REPORT 3. Comments addressed 4. The structure and sub-sections of the case report have been re-presented according to the CARE guidelines for writing case reports 5. We have included more current references
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	