## **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111161
Title of the Manuscript:	Implication of aqueous leaf extracts of Eucalyptus tereticornis Sm. on Agricultural crops
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>1.</b> Yes, it is important for the scientific community. Because any research that goes in the direction of improving agricultural crops in order to increase production is necessary. Indeed, we know how malnutrition often hits developing countries.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	The state of the s	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. The title is not too appropriate. However, we can offer this one. Influence of aqueous extracts on the expression of germination and growth of agricultural seeds.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>3.</b> Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	4. Not completely because the clearly written discussion and conflict of interest subsection are missing	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	5.Yes	
	6. The references are sufficient, but there are too many old references. The author can update	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	them.	
Minor REVISION comments		
THE VIOLENT COMMINENTS	Yes	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Tables must be open and not closed. See the articles already published by the journal. The other observations I made directly in the document	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Elion Itou Romaric De Garde
Department, University & Country	Marien Ngouabi University, Congo

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)