

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111161
Title of the Manuscript:	Implication of aqueous leaf extracts of <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> Sm. on Agricultural crops
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, it is important for the scientific community. Because any research that goes in the direction of improving agricultural crops in order to increase production is necessary. Indeed, we know how malnutrition often hits developing countries. 2. The title is not too appropriate. However, we can offer this one. Influence of aqueous extracts on the expression of germination and growth of agricultural seeds. 3. Yes 4. Not completely because the clearly written discussion and conflict of interest subsection are missing 5. Yes 6. The references are sufficient, but there are too many old references. The author can update them.	 Yes Made corrections according to given suggestions Yes Made corrections according to given suggestions Yes Made corrections according to given suggestions
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes	 Yes
Optional/General comments	Tables must be open and not closed. See the articles already published by the journal. The other observations I made directly in the document	Made corrections according to given suggestions

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No