

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110882
Title of the Manuscript:	Resilience Engineering on Climate Change in Environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Basically, this manuscript is important for the scientific community. 2. An alternative title: The implementation of resilience engineering to deal with climate change impact. 3. The abstract is less comprehensive. The abstract is not able to show the urgency of the topic, method and clear results of the discussion. 4. The discussion section is too little, while the conclusion section is too much. 5. Needs a lot of improvement, especially related to logical thinking. 6. It's enough.	 1.Thanks to the reviewers for their positive guidance. 2.The title of this paper has also been selected and corrected according to the suggestions. 3.The abstract has also been updated and strengthened. 4.The discussion and conclusion sections have also been readjusted and formatted. 5. Parts that have been updated are marked in Yellow colour background for easy checking.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Needs basic improvements, especially in terms of grammar.	 1. Thanks for the guidance. 2. Appropriate corrections and enhancements have been made to the grammatical aspects.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No. All references have sources listed.