

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110574
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different method of rice establishment and weed management options on yield and economics of paddy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. The study addresses practical challenges faced by farmers, specifically in the context of rice cultivation. Understanding the most effective methods of rice establishment and weed management is crucial for optimizing yields and ensuring economic viability for farmers. Weed management is a critical aspect of crop cultivation. The study evaluates different weed management options, including hand weeding and the application of pre-emergence and post-emergence herbicides. This information is valuable for farmers looking to adopt effective and sustainable weed control practices. Understanding the impact of different weed management strategies, including herbicide applications, contributes to discussions on sustainability and environmental impact. This is important for ensuring that agricultural practices align with broader goals of environmental conservation. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes	
Optional/General comments	 Overall right up and description of research point is very good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mayurdhvajsinh H. Chavda
Department, University & Country	Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, India