

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103960
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on performance of Bt cotton hybrids against jassids (Amrasca bigutulla bigutulla Ishida) during summer season
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p><b>Yes</b>, The future of cotton cultivation may see an increased emphasis on IPM techniques, including biological control (using natural enemies), cultural practices (crop rotation, trap cropping), and monitoring systems (pheromone traps, remote sensing) to optimize pest control while reducing pesticide use.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Yes Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Additional comments: - The manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. - Materials &amp; methods : the suitability and technical standards of the methods is very good. - Results &amp; discussion : the data well controlled and robust. - The relevant and current references during discussion. - Discussion and conclusions based on actual facts . - Sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses have been carried out. - All the references cited relevant and adequate.</p>	Revised
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	Ok
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>In page (1): the hybrid not correct <b>NCS-2779</b>, The correct is : <b>NCS-2778</b>, In page (2): The name of the Researcher is not correct : - (<b>Sheron</b>, 1998) <sup>[9]</sup>. The correct is : (<b>Sheoran</b>, 1998) <sup>[9]</sup>. - MONEY MAKER (<b>6.69</b> jassids) - The correct is MONEY MAKER (<b>6.61</b> jassids)</p>	Noted

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	