Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_110477
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, crystal structure and spectroscopic study of (E)-2-methoxy-4-((1-phenylethylimino)methyl)phenol
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
		the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors reported the synthesis of an unsymmetrical (E)-2-methoxy-4-((1-	
	phenylethylimino)methyl)phenol C ₁₆ N ₁₇ NO ₂ . The structure of the new Schiff base was discussed by	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	elemental analysis and spectroscopic techniques (FT-IR, UV-visible, ¹ H NMR). X-rays diffraction	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	technique was used to determine its molecular structure. That is why the Ms is important for the	
	scientific community.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?		
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4 And and additional and atmost and of the meaning and an annual state of	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All the subsections and structure are consequent	
F. De very think the manuscript is esignifically connect?	All the subsections and structure are appropriate.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The MC is asigntifically assumed	
6 Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	The MS is scientifically correct.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	The references are sufficient and recent.	
additional suggestions/comments)		
additional suggestions/comments/		
Minor REVISION comments		
<u></u>		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly	The language/English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
communications?		
Optional/General comments		
	Accepted as it is.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K P Srivastava
Department, University & Country	Jai Prakash University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)