

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_110477
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, crystal structure and spectroscopic study of (E)-2-methoxy-4-((1-phenylethylimino)methyl)phenol
Type of the Article	1. Original Research Article or 4. Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The authors reported the synthesis of an unsymmetrical (E)-2-methoxy-4-((1-phenylethylimino)methyl)phenol C₁₆N₁₇NO₂. The structure of the new Schiff base was discussed by elemental analysis and spectroscopic techniques (FT-IR, UV-visible, ¹H NMR). X-rays diffraction technique was used to determine its molecular structure. That is why the Ms is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>All the subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>The MS is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	<p>The title of the article is very self-explanatory and helps to understand the different methods used to characterize this Schiff base compound. The right language and grammar for this scientific article.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language/English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p><i>Accepted as it is.</i></p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO