

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_111223
Title of the Manuscript:	Development Of Ready-To-Eat (Spirulina Pancake Premix) Nutritional Supplement for Anemic Adolescent Girls: Nutritional and Microbial Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 Yes it is with minor revision Yes it is Must be re-write and adjusted; author must follow the author guidelines Yes to some extent Yes I do Yes for some	 Response 1 We thank editors to consider the manuscript important for scientific community. Response 2 Thankyou editors to accept the tittle of the manuscript. Response 3 We thank the reviewers for their precious judgement on the manuscript. We have considered the valuable suggestions and have done the needed changes. Response 4 We thank the reviewers for their precious judgement on the manuscript. Response 5 We thank the reviewers for their precious judgement on the manuscript. Response 6 We thank the reviewers for their guidance and suggestions. In response of this, we have added more references in this manuscript keeping in mind their relevancy.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes it is	 Thank you reviewers, for your precious judgments
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	