

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110366
Title of the Manuscript:	A comprehensive Review on Role of Distance Learning Program towards the Development of Global Education System
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Consider structuring the abstract in a way that mirrors the paper's structure. For example, start with the background (the rise of distance learning), then move to the main body of your review (impacts, benefits, challenges), and conclude with the implications for stakeholders and future prospects. This flow can make it easier for readers to grasp the paper's organization. 2. The introduction could be more concise; some points are repeated, such as the accessibility and flexibility of distance learning programs. 3. While it touches on the challenges, the introduction could benefit from a deeper exploration of these issues. For example, discussing how the digital divide might be exacerbated in certain regions would provide a more nuanced view. 4. Consider adding a call to action or recommendations for educators, policymakers, or students to further the benefits of distance learning. This could guide readers on how to apply the insights from the paper. 5. The language is clear and the tone is consistent with the rest of the paper. However, avoiding phrases that may seem hyperbolic, such as "revolutionized," unless supported by strong evidence, might be prudent. 6. Some points are repeated (e.g., accessibility and flexibility are mentioned more than once). Aim for concise language to keep the conclusion impactful and to the point. 7. Provide the main objective before the methodology. Add Methodology, even if it is a Literature Review. A well-defined methodology in a literature review ensures that the process of searching for, selecting, and analyzing literature is systematic and transparent. This makes the review replicable and credible.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix E. Arcilla Jr.
Department, University & Country	Magsaysay College, Philippines