

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_110229
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Shifting Cultivation on Soil health and Microbial diversity in Junnar Taluka of Pune District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes</b> , Studying the effects of shifting cultivation on soil health and microbial diversity holds paramount scientific importance due to its multifaceted impacts on agricultural ecosystems.  <b>Yes</b> , the title is suitable  <b>No</b> , it is not upto the mark. Write the abstract according to the journal by referring published papers  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b> , the manuscript is scientifically correct after the modifications of comments and yellow highlights.  <b>Yes</b> , but the citations should be corrected and arranged according to the journal's guidelines.  Arrange the references according to the journal and give citation of the reference in manuscript according to the journal	<b>The background was missing so it was added</b>  <b>The references are revised as per the journal style</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes</b> , language quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As per my review, the given comments are enough to improve the quality of the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	