Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_110612
Title of the Manuscript:	A Huge Benign Cystic Lymphangioma of The Epididymis – An Atypical Case of Scrotal Swelling and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case study

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Yes, it's a rare case of scrotal swelling	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)		
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is better stated as Epididymal Lymphagioma: An Atypical Case of Scrotal Swelling. Removebenign, the word lymphagioma already connotes a benign lesionremoveliterature review	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Fair . minimize background to the subject in the ABSTRACT, add how the diagnosis was made in the abstract	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, remove literature review from the discussion heading.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the7. review form.	Yes	
	Remove date and leave duration under case report	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to	Remove substantial fromsubstantial giant cyst under case report	
provide additional suggestions/comments)	Add painless to the history not under physical examinations	
	Merge Computed tomography and ultrasound findings together under case report	
	Put CT/USS in full not abrevation	
	Avoid repetition of imaging findings under case report	
	Don't lump history and examination together under investigationpain is a symptoms while tenderness is a sign	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It will require editing by native speakers	
Optional/General comments	The discussion is poorly written. The author will need to objectively discuss his findings in relation to the existing literature on the subjectthe author can consider the following areasage and gender distribution	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Symptoms
Signs
Complications
pathology
Diagnosis
Surgical treatment
Follow up
using these to discuss the case against the existing literature

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idowu Najeem Adedamola
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)