

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_110612
Title of the Manuscript:	A Huge Benign Cystic Lymphangioma of The Epididymis – An Atypical Case of Scrotal Swelling and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it's a rare case of scrotal swelling</p> <p>No, the title is better stated as Epididymal Lymphagioma: An Atypical Case of Scrotal Swelling. Removebenign, the word lymphagioma already connotes a benign lesion.....remove ...literature review....</p> <p>Fair . minimize background to the subject in the ABSTRACT, add how the diagnosis was made in the abstract</p> <p>Yes, remove literature review from the discussion heading.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Remove date and leave duration under case report</p> <p>Remove substantial from....substantial giant cyst under case report</p> <p>Add painless to the history not under physical examinations</p> <p>Merge Computed tomography and ultrasound findings together under case report</p> <p>Put CT/USS in full not abbreviation</p> <p>Avoid repetition of imaging findings under case report</p> <p>Don't lump history and examination together under investigation.....pain is a symptoms while tenderness is a sign.....</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It will require editing by native speakers</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	<p>The discussion is poorly written. The author will need to objectively discuss his findings in relation to the existing literature on the subject...the author can consider the following areas----age and gender distribution</p> <p>Symptoms Signs Complications pathology Diagnosis Surgical treatment Follow upusing these to discuss the case against the existing literature</p>	
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	