## **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_111009
Title of the Manuscript:	UNILATERAL STELLATE CATARACT POST ELECTROCUTION- CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Case report

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
		the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Yes. The manuscript has sufficient contribution for better understanding, optimizing patient	
	care, and advancing the state of knowledge in Ophthalmology	
<ol> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</li> <li>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> </ol>		
	Yes. It is concise and succinct	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?		
(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes. It is logically organized	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	l con it is regionally enganized.	
n / no onto on on on on on on on one of the open open of	Yes. The findings were clearly described and relevant previous research discussed	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	adequately	
or bo you amin and manacompete continuously correct.		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	At least fifteen (15) references should be cited (if possible). Four (4) of the references cited	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	are recent (within 5 years of publication) and that is good enough	
audinonal foromos, prodos mondos mismos forom form	and reconst (minimizer years of parameters), and making grown enough	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide		
additional suggestions/comments)	Author should include detailed list of materials used during patient evaluation e.g. Slit Lamp	
<u>additional suggestions/comments/</u>	Biomicroscope etc.	
	Bioinicroscope etc.	
Minor REVISION comments		
MINION REVIOLOR COMMISSION	Yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly	163	
communications?		
communications?		
Ontional/Coneval comments	The manuscript is generally acceptable, and recommended for nublication (suggested	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally acceptable, and recommended for publication (suggested	
	revisions possibly optional)	

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

### **Review Form 1.7**

# Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sambo Jones Dawa
Department, University & Country	Gombe State University, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)