

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_111009
Title of the Manuscript:	UNILATERAL STELLATE CATARACT POST ELECTROCUTION- CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Case report

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  This case report needs to more details in discussion part, for scientific community approval  The alternative title has been recommended in the manuscript  Yes, it is  Acknowledgment, authors contribution and conflict of interest not written  Yes, it is  It's better that References under 2000 remove from this article, also additional article has been suggested and commented on the manuscript	  Corrected as suggested  Corrected as suggested    The manuscript originally submitted to the journal does have these titles (point 5,6). The same however are not reflected in the manuscript seen by the reviewers. The titles have been added again and highlighted.  The suggested article has been included. The authors have attempted to reference old as well as recent literature to provide a holistic overview. The older articles have historical importance and provide important and earliest shypothesis and conclusions regarding the pathogenesis of the disease and the authors feel they should be a part of the manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  Yes, it is	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		The suggested changes in certain spellings and correct age of patient have been endorsed and highlighted

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	