

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_111009
Title of the Manuscript:	UNILATERAL STELLATE CATARACT POST ELECTROCUTION- CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript has sufficient contribution for better understanding, optimizing patient care, and advancing the state of knowledge in Ophthalmology</p> <p>Yes. It is concise and succinct</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. It is logically organized</p> <p>Yes. The findings were clearly described and relevant previous research discussed adequately</p> <p>At least fifteen (15) references should be cited (if possible). Four (4) of the references cited are recent (within 5 years of publication) and that is good enough</p> <p>Author should include detailed list of materials used during patient evaluation e.g. Slit Lamp Biomicroscope etc.</p>	<p>Additional references have been cited as per suggestion</p> <p>Details included as suggested</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is generally acceptable, and recommended for publication (suggested revisions possibly optional)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	