

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_110605
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Anti-hyperlipidemic Activity of Ethanolic Extract of Gymnema Sylvestre on Rat Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<b>Manuscript need to be revised</b>  <b>Need to be revised</b>  <b>Partially accepted after revision</b>    There are some typos and grammar mistakes in the text and need some editorial improvement. Please, delete irrelevant "general" phrases and sentences. Overall written English need to improve.	Revised  Revised    All Mistakes revised    Terms changed  English improved
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Average	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	1. Gymnema Sylvestre keep in Italics in whole manuscript 2. Plant Authenification evidence to be mentioned 3. Disease + plant-based drug in high concentration don't mention like this specify the Disease and dose of plant accurately 4. Anti-hyperkipidemic in conclusion need to be addressed properly 5. Discussion and Conclusion need to be improved for easy Under standing of audience 6. There are some typos and grammar mistakes in the text and need some editorial improvement. 7. Please, delete irrelevant "general" phrases and sentences. 8. Overall written English need to improve. 10. References should be as per journal style and Numbers are not mentioned in the references	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	