Review Form 1.7

| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Pediatric Research |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJPR_109609 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ADAMS-OLIVER SYNDROME (OSA) |
| Type of the Article | Case study |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | , |
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | Yes. It gives an overview about a relatively rare genetic condition. Based on the clinical manifestation and appropriate diagnostic evaluation it is possible to diagnose the disease and treat it. | |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | No. The abbreviation used for the syndrome in the title is incorrect. AOS is the correct abbreviation instead of OSA. | |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | Yes. The abstract is to the point and concise. However, the abstract may not be divided into subsections. A single paragraph or an overview may suffice the need. | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | No. Subsections of 'diagnosis' and 'treatment' are not needed. Respective subtexts may be | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | No. 'The exact pathogenetic mechanism of SAO (gene or mechanism) remains unknown, which hampers genetic counseling' in the manuscript is scientifically incorrect. There are proven gene mutations to diagnose the syndrome with genetic testing. Source - Zhu VZ, Hansen-Kiss E, Hecht JT, Payne PE. Adams-Oliver Syndrome: Vestigial Tail and Genetics | |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | Update. Arch Plast Surg. 2022 Jul 30;49(4):517-522. | |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | More reference may be added pertaining to the genetics of the disease, which will help in genetic counseling of planned pregnancies with history of such illnesses in the family. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | There is scope for improvement in the written language. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Shruti Appaswamy |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, India |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)