

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_110369
Title of the Manuscript:	Dietary Diversity, Nutritional status and associated factors among Pregnant Women in Their First Trimester of Pregnancy in coastal region of Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, this manuscript very important for scientific community</p> <p>Dietary Diversity, Nutritional status and socio demographic among Pregnant Women in Their First Trimester of Pregnancy in coastal region of Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study</p> <p>Yes, complete and article comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</p> <p>There are several paragraphs whose scientific sentences must be adjusted to the third paragraph in the introduction.</p> <p>The references are sufficient but there are still some old references which should be replaced with the latest references</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Yea, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. I have also written some sentences in the last part of introduction before the aim of the study and marked with yellow colour in corrected manuscript.2. Yea, I think the title is suitable.3. Yes, the abstract part is comprehensive and it contains only 267 words.4. Obviously, the subsections and structure are appropriate.5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.6. Yea, I think the references are sufficient and recent.7. I have put down the running title, informed census, funding support declaration and acknowledgment in title page.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The quality of articles in Bahasa/English is very suitable for scientific communication</p>	<p>Yea, I think the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>This article is suitable to be published</p>	<p>I want to give thanks for your valuable response and feedback.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee, Noakhali Science & Technology University, Bangladesh as per the rule of conduct. Approval was also taken from local administration and the participants were well aware about the pros and cons of the study with proper consent the study was performed.