

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_107175
Title of the Manuscript:	Forecasting Australia Gross Domestic Product (GDP) under Structural Change (SC) using Break for Time Series Components (BFTSC).
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>To some extent</p> <p>yes</p> <p>To some extent</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Innovation should be mentioned in the abstract- Insert references at the end of each paragraph in the introduction section- It is better to insert LITERATURE REVIEW section in the manuscript- Use the term et al. to refer to more than two authors- It is better to make a general edit before printing.- Use the same format for referencing. In some parts, numbering is used, and in others, the researcher's name is included- It should be noted contribution of this article- The conclusion section has no detailed explanation. Also, no comparison has been made with the results of previous research mentioned in this research (In terms of matching or non- matching to this study)- Follow the general format of writing a scientific article	<p>Corrected all</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	