

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_111020
Title of the Manuscript:	STORAGE CHANGES IN TRIPLE FORTIFIED TIGERNUT AND MORINGA SEED BASED AQUEOUS DRINKS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses critical aspects of storage changes in triple fortified tigernut and moringa seed-based aqueous drinks. The findings contribute valuable insights into the impact of temperature, chemical hurdles, and storage duration on the stability and microbial characteristics of the beverages. This research is pertinent for advancing knowledge in food science and nutrition, providing practical implications for product development and fortification strategies.</p> <p>2. The existing title maintains clarity while briefly highlighting the focus on storage changes in beverages enriched with triple fortified tiger nut and moringa seed.</p> <p>3. The abstract could benefit from clarification and concise explanations. For instance, the abbreviation TVB is utilized without prior definition, and certain statements may require clearer articulation. A revision could enhance overall comprehension.</p> <p>4.Subsection formatting in the article is suitable, needs following corrections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction instead 1.02. Material and Methods instead 2.03. Numbering for the conclusion section. <p>5.The manuscript is scientifically accurate; however, some corrections are needed, such as ensuring consistent spelling of microorganism names and maintaining a uniform pattern for vitamin names.</p> <p>6. Replace outdated references with more recent and relevant sources to enhance the currency and validity of the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Enhance the clarity of the manuscript by improving English language usage and expression.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arshad Rasool
Department, University & Country	School of Botany Institute, Minhaj University, Pakistan