

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_110150
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthetic amino acids (Methionine and lysine) in local feed formulated from the flour of <i>Hermetia illucens</i> larvae on some zootechnical performances of <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> fry in tanks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes</b>  <b>New title:</b> Formulated flour of <i>Hermetia illucens</i> larvae to ascertain synthetic amino acids and zootechnical performances on <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> fry.  <b>Comprehensive; yet needs re-structuring</b>  <b>For some, Yes. Comments for corrections have been made. Check manuscripts for corrections on the side.</b>  <b>Based on the report of the author, Yes.</b>  <b>All references in this report should be revised. Author should endeavour to follow the journal's instructions on how to write proper references.</b>  <b>These words should be italicised by the author: <i>Hermetia illucens</i> , <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> , journal names in references</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language used in this report is averagely good. Author needs to learn to write short and straight to the point results without long sentencing. Long sentencing affects the acceptance of any work or its authenticity.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	TITLE SHOULD BE REVISED. Two new titles for the author to chose from, have been stated in the manuscript as corrections.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emem Anietie Robert
Department, University & Country	National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, Nigeria