

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_110150
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthetic amino acids (Methionine and lysine) in local feed formulated from the flour of Hermetia illucens larvae on some zootechnical performances of Clarias gariepinus fry in tanks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. The manuscript provides valuable information on novelty approach of fish feed formulation using in-expensive source of protein supplemented with essential nutrients. 2. The title is suitable but needs consistency in relation to the body of the research. i.e the use of Zootechnical in place of growth performance and tanks in place of Happas. The title could be corrected as “Growth performance of <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> fry fed <i>Hermetia illucens</i> based diet supplemented with Synthetic amino acids (Methionine and lysine). 3. The Abstract is comprehensive but needs critical adjustment. 4. The Subsections and structure are well appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are sufficient but can still incorporate more recent literatures. 7. The Materials and Methods section should be consistent with what was reported in the Abstract section	 We would first like to thank you for the comments made to improve the quality of this article. The title was revised as desired by the experts. The term thanks has been replaced by happas Scientific names have also been rewritten in italics Figure 5 has also been updated We also approve the translation of French references into English.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	